

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,

12 No. C 94-2307 CW

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 ORDER RE:
16 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,
17 Defendants.
18

19

20 On June 7, 2007, the Court will hear argument on Plaintiffs'
21 motion that the Court request a three-judge panel be convened to
22 consider limiting the prison population of inmates with
23 disabilities pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3626(a)(3). In preparation
24 for that hearing the Court now orders the parties to file
25 supplemental briefing addressing the factual basis for their
26 arguments in support of or opposition to the motion. In
27 particular, the parties should address the following issues, to the
28 extent the information is available: (1) the number of class

1 members broken down by type(s) of disability, location and
2 administrative classification; (2) the number and location of
3 accessible beds and other necessary accommodations for each type of
4 disability available in the various levels of administrative
5 classifications, (3) statistics on the prevalence of ADA and
6 Section 504 violations, (4) a summary of past, current and planned
7 remedial efforts, (5) specific examples, if any, of the effect of
8 crowding on ADA and Section 504 compliance, and (6) barriers to the
9 implementation of the remedial orders thus far in this case.

10 Plaintiffs shall file a supplemental brief of twenty-five
11 pages or less in support of their motion by May 9, 2007.

12 Defendants shall file a supplemental brief of twenty-five pages or
13 less in opposition by May 21, 2007. Plaintiffs supplemental reply
14 of ten pages or less, if any, shall be due by May 28, 2007.

15
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17
18 Dated: 4/27/07



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28